CORRESPONDENCE



OXFORD

Reducing Diagnostic Bias Through Multiplex Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Testing for SARS-CoV-2, Influenza A/B, and RSV

To THE EDITOR—We thank the authors for their comments on our study of outcomes in adults attending the emergency department (ED) with infections caused by Omicron, seasonal influenza, or respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) [1].

First, the authors raise concerns about potential diagnostic bias and confounding by indication. During the pandemic period, 98% of the study participants were tested for all 3 viruses by multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing, thus reducing potential differential diagnostic test bias. Furthermore, multiplex PCR testing for influenza and RSV was employed during the pre-pandemic study period. The numbers of RSV patients in relation to Omicron and influenza patients in our study are in line with previous studies of hospitalized patients [2, 3]. Our study focused on adults seeking the ED due to a respiratory virus infection, and the source population would thus not include milder infections in the community [4]. We do not agree that including test-negative patients is very informative because it consists of a heterogenous group of patients with many different infections and diagnoses.

Second, the authors mentions that we had access to time-to-event data but used logistic regression for statistical modelling purposes. The cumulative incidence was included in the article to present the temporality of mortality among study participants. However, our main objective was not to model time to mortality, but rather to evaluate mortality as a binary outcome at 30 and 90 days after the ED visit. If using Cox regression, the adjusted hazard ratio (95% confidence interval [CI]) for 30-day mortality would be 2.21 (1.50–3.25) for Omicron versus

influenza and 1.36 (.92–2.01) for Omicron versus RSV, that is, similar findings to those from the logistic regression models.

Third, the authors bring up a sentence in the discussion where it is mentioned that "around 14 times more deaths occurred in the Omicron cohort compared to the influenza 2021/2022 cohort and the RSV 2021/2022 cohort...." It is correct that these figures stem from dividing the number of deaths in the Omicron cohort with the number of deaths in the influenza and RSV cohorts, respectively. As mentioned in the article, this calculation assumes that all deaths were related to the respiratory infection and the length of the infection seasons were similar. The purpose of this calculation is to emphasize that during the 14-month study period, Omicron was both more prevalent and associated with more severe outcomes, a "double whammy," compared with influenza and RSV infections.

Finally, the authors point out that almost all cases of influenza in our study were influenza A (1082/1099), and thus we did not have sufficient power to compare patients infected with Omicron to patients infected with influenza B. This is mentioned as a limitation in the discussion, and we do agree with the authors that further investigations into this could provide important insights into the comparative severity of these respiratory viruses. It is important to emphasize that the severity of influenza epidemics varies widely [5] and continued assessments of the comparative severity of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infections and influenza and RSV infections are warranted as described in our article.

Notes

Financial support. The work was supported by the Swedish Research Council (grant number 2021-04809) and the EuCare Project funded by the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement number 101046016. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Potential conflicts of interest. The authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Pontus Hedberg,^{1,©} John Karlsson Valik,^{2,3} Lina Abdel Halim,^{2,3} Tobias Alfvén,^{4,5} and Pontus Naucler^{2,3}

¹Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ²Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ³Department of Infectious Diseases, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁴Department of Global Public Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; and ⁵Sachs' Children and Youth Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

References

- Hedberg P, Valik JK, Abdel-Halim L, Alfvén T, Nauclér P. Outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant infections compared with seasonal influenza and respiratory syncytial virus infections in adults attending the emergency department: a multicenter cohort study. Clin Infect Dis 2023:ciad660. doi:10. 1093/cid/ciad660.
- Surie D, Yuengling KA, DeCuir J, et al. Disease severity of respiratory syncytial virus compared with COVID-19 and influenza among hospitalized adults aged ≥60 years—IVY network, 20 U.S. States, February 2022–May 2023. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2023; 72:1083–8.
- 3. Begley KM, Monto AS, Lamerato LE, et al. Prevalence and clinical outcomes of respiratory syncytial virus vs influenza in adults hospitalized with acute respiratory illness from a prospective multicenter study. Clin Infect Dis **2023**; 76:1980–8.
- Korsten K, Adriaenssens N, Coenen S, et al. Burden of respiratory syncytial virus infection in communitydwelling older adults in Europe (RESCEU): an international prospective cohort study. Eur Respir J 2021; 57:2002688.
- Uyeki TM, Hui DS, Zambon M, Wentworth DE, Monto AS. Influenza. Lancet 2022; 400:693–706.

Correspondence: P. Hedberg, Department of Medicine Huddinge, Infectious Diseases and Dermatology Unit, PH7 Medicin, Huddinge, H7 Infektion och Hud Sönnerborg, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden (pontus.hedberg@ki.se); P. Naucler, Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Infectious Diseases, K2 Medicin, Solna, K2 Infekt Naucler P, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden (pontus.naucler@ki.se).

Clinical Infectious Diseases®

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@ oup.com

https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciad747